

United States Patent and Trademark Office



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/927,301	08/10/2001	Dan Kikinis	P5064	7434
24739	7590 02/02/2006		EXAMINER	
•	COAST PATENT AGE	PHILPOTT, JUSTIN M		
PO BOX 187 AROMAS, CA 95004			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
,			2665	
			DATE MAIL ED: 02/02/2006	6

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)		
	09/927,301	KIKINIS, DAN		
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit		
	Justin M. Philpott	2665		
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address		
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DA - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 16(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tim 11 apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONEI	N. nely filed the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).		
Status				
1)⊠ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 30 No. 2a)□ This action is FINAL. 2b)⊠ This 3)□ Since this application is in condition for allower closed in accordance with the practice under E.	action is non-final. nce except for formal matters, pro			
Disposition of Claims				
4) ⊠ Claim(s) 16-27 is/are pending in the application 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdray 5) □ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ⊠ Claim(s) 16-27 is/are rejected. 7) □ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) □ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	vn from consideration.			
Application Papers				
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) acce Applicant may not request that any objection to the of Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	epted or b) objected to by the liderawing(s) be held in abeyance. See ion is required if the drawing(s) is obj	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). jected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).		
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119				
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 				
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal P 6) Other:			

Art Unit: 2665

DETAILED ACTION

Response to Arguments

1. Applicant's arguments, see pages 5-9 in the Appeal Brief, filed November 30, 2005, with respect to the rejection(s) of claim(s) 16-27 under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Draginich et al. have been fully considered and are persuasive. Therefore, the rejection has been withdrawn. Additionally, applicant's request for reconsideration of the finality of the rejection of the last Office action is persuasive and, therefore, the finality of that action is withdrawn. However, upon further consideration, a new ground(s) of rejection is made in view of the newly cited reference of Orton et al.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 2. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 3. Claims 16-27 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over U.S. Patent No. 6,560,329 to Draginich et al. in view of U.S. Patent No. 6,678,735 to Orton et al.

Regarding claims 16 and 22, Draginich teaches a system and method for routing a communication event in a call center (e.g., call distribution system 10, see FIGS. 1, 2 and 5) having routing provided by a CTI server (e.g., call server 22; see col. 1, lines 1-67 regarding CTI), the event initiated by an originator at a computerized workstation (e.g., client device 27-

Art Unit: 2665

29) outside the call center (e.g., call distribution system 10), comprising: a software-enabled SIP mechanism (e.g., see col. 1, lines 65-67 and col. 4, lines 24-34 regarding interactive session with a caller; see col. 4, lines 1-3 regarding signaling from devices according to SIP; and see col. 4. lines 19-21 regarding calls being in the form of electronic mail messages which implicitly requires a software-enabled mechanism on the transmitting device) operable on the workstation by the originator to prepare and send an SIP-protocol routing request along with an event initiation (e.g., see col. 3, line 48 – col. 5, line 13, and specifically col. 4, lines 1-15 regarding network 15 transmitting SIP signaling comprising intrinsic call data from devices 27-29, wherein the call data implicitly includes event initiation in the form of audio-video calls, electronic mail messages, etc., see col. 4, lines 19-21; and call data implicitly includes routing request by "provid[ing] a capability to route each call", see col. 4, lines 11-12); and a software enabled reformatting mechanism (e.g., routing controller 20) in the call center (e.g., call distribution system 10) receiving and processing the SIP-protocol routing request (e.g., comprising call data, see col. 4, lines 36-45); characterized in that the reformatting mechanism (e.g., routing controller 20) sends a resulting request (e.g., processing directive 66, see col. 6, line 65 – col. 7, line 19 regarding routing controller 20 receiving the call data and processing the call by sending a processing directive 66) to the CTI server (e.g., call server 22) for processing and response (e.g., see col. 7, lines 1-4 regarding call server 22 then undertaking telephony signaling), and the CTI server (e.g., call server 22) determines and returns a routing for the communication event (e.g., by directing PBX to route the call, see col. 7, lines 24-27, and returning an acknowledgement to the routing controller 20, see col. 7, lines 29-31).

Art Unit: 2665

However, Draginich may not specifically disclose SIP-to-non-SIP conversion is performed within the call center.

Orton, like Draginich, teaches a system and method for routing a communication event in a call center wherein SIP-to-non-SIP conversion is performed (e.g., via X-SIP client manager 300 comprising modules 310 and 330, whereby SIP messages are received and module 330 removes unnecessary header information to create a simplified SIP message, and module 310 converts the simplified SIP message into a client application message, see col. 2, lines 10-30 and col. 5, line 6 – col. 6, line 14). Additionally, the teachings of Orton provides improved management of routing information (e.g., see col. 1, lines 46-50) by accommodating a plurality of client applications running under different programming languages and client applications (e.g., see col. 12, lines 6-15). Thus, at the time of the invention it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to include the SIP-to-non-SIP conversion in the system and method for routing of Orton in the system and method for routing of Draginich in order to provide improved management of routing information (e.g., see col. 1, lines 46-50) by accommodating a plurality of client applications running under different programming languages and client applications (e.g., see col. 12, lines 6-15).

Regarding claims 17, 18, 23 and 24, Draginich teaches the communication event arrives at the call center (e.g., call distribution system 10) from a data packet network comprising the Internet network (e.g., see col. 3, lines 61-64).

Regarding claims 19 and 25, Draginich teaches the Internet network further connects to a LAN network (e.g., see col. 3, line 64 – col. 4, line 1 regarding network 15 comprising a combination of networks including a private network, inherently comprising a LAN).

Application/Control Number: 09/927,301 Page 5

Art Unit: 2665

Regarding claims 20 and 26, Draginich teaches the CTI server (e.g., call server 22) controls routing within the call center (e.g., see col. 4, lines 25-35).

Regarding claims 21 and 27, Draginich teaches the communication events are received from clients (e.g., via client device 27-29) of the call center (e.g., call distribution system 10) and routed to agents (e.g., agent stations 11-14) or automated systems (e.g., automated unit) at work within the center (e.g., see col. 6, lines 36-45).

Conclusion

4. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Justin M. Philpott whose telephone number is 571.272.3162. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F, 9:00am-5:00pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Huy D. Vu can be reached on 571.272.3155. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Justin M Philpott

alpus H. Hsu Primary examiner

Alfan vs. rom